JURY VERDICT FORM JUSTIN VOSS

I.	FALSE ARREST	CLAIM AGAINST	Justin Voss

Prior to trial, Carolyn Mitchell proved as a matter of law the following facts:

- Justin Voss arrested Carolyn Mitchell without a warrant. 1.
- Justin Voss's conduct was a substantial factor in causing harm to Carolyn 2. Mitchell.

Based (on the a	above legal findings, We answer the questions submitted to us as fol	lows:
	1.	What are Carolyn Mitchell's damages?	
		Past economic loss, including lost profits/medical expenses:	\$ \$
		Future economic loss, including lost profits/medical expenses:	\$
		Past noneconomic loss, including physical pain/mental suffering:	\$
	d.	Future noneconomic loss, including physical pain/mental suffering:	\$
TOTAI	L:		\$
foreper	son sho	have not awarded Carolyn Mitchell money in response to question 1 puld date and sign this verdict. If you have awarded Carolyn Mitche testion 1, then answer question 2.	. •
	2.	Should punitive damages be awarded in this case?	
	Yes _	No	
	•	answer to question 2 is no, do not answer any further questions. You did sign this verdict.	our foreperson
	Howev	ver, if your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3.	
	3.	What amount of money should be awarded as punitive damages in	this case?
		Answer: \$	
Signed Presidi	ng Juro	or:	

11.		1 Against Justin Voss	
We an	swer th	e questions submitted to us as follows: Was Justin Voss's conduct outrageous?	
	Yes _	No	
here, a	-	r answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answere no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this f	-
	2.	Did Justin Voss intend to cause Carolyn Mitchell emotional distres	s?
		Did Justin Voss act with reckless disregard of the probability that C Mitchell would suffer emotional distress?	Carolyn
	Yes_	No	
answe	-	r answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answere ther questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.	d no, stop here
	3.	Did Carolyn Mitchell suffer severe emotional distress?	
	Yes _	No	
answe	•	r answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered ther questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.	d no, stop here
	4.	Was Justin Voss's conduct a substantial factor in causing Carolyn I severe emotional distress?	Mitchell's
	Yes_	No	
answe		r answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered ther questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.	d no, stop here
	5.	What are Carolyn Mitchell's damages?	
ТОТА	a. _ b. c. d.	Past economic loss, including lost profits/medical expenses: Future economic loss, including lost profits/medical expenses: Past noneconomic loss, including physical pain/mental suffering: Future noneconomic loss, including physical pain/mental suffering:	\$ \$ \$ \$

If you have not awarded Carolyn Mitchell money in response to question 5, your foreperson should date and sign this verdict. If you have awarded Carolyn Mitchell money in response to question 5, then answer question 6.

6.	Should punitive damages be awarded in	n this case?	
Yes _	No		
•	*	er any further questions.	Your foreperson
Howev	ver, if your answer to question 6 is yes, t	hen answer question 7.	
7.	What amount of money should be awar	ded as punitive damages	in this case?
	Answer:	\$	
orepers	on should date and sign the verdict.		
ı			
ng Juro	r:		
	Yes If your date an Howev 7. orepersor: ing Juro	Yes No If your answer to question 6 is no, do not answ date and sign this verdict. However, if your answer to question 6 is yes, to the second of the second	Yes No If your answer to question 6 is no, do not answer any further questions. date and sign this verdict. However, if your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. What amount of money should be awarded as punitive damages Answer: \$

DEFAMATION: CLAIM AGAINST JUSTIN VOSS III.

We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

we ai	we answer the questions submitted to us as follows:		
1.	Is it more likely true than not true that the defendant communicated a statement, either orally, in writing, or by observable conduct, to a person other than the plaintiff?		
Yes_	No		
•	r answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.		
2.	It is more likely true than not true that the statement, or observable conduct, was reasonably understood by this person to be about the plaintiff?		
Yes_	No		
•	r answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered no, stop no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.		
3.	Is it more likely true than not true that the message presented by the statement, or the observable conduct, was false?		
Yes_	No		
•	r answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no, stop no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.		
4.	Is it more likely true than not true that Justin Voss knew, or reasonably should have known, that the message presented by the statement, or observable conduct, was false?		
Yes_	No		
•	r answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no, stop no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.		

5. What amount of money will fairly compensate the Carolyn Mitchell for all harm to her which was more likely than not legally caused by the Justin Voss's

statement, or observable conduct:

If you have not awarded Carolyn Mitchell money in response to question 5, your foreperson should date and sign this verdict. If you have awarded Carolyn Mitchell money in response to question 5, then answer question 6.

	6.	Should punitive damages be awarded in this case?	
	Yes _	No	
should	•	r answer to question 6 is no, do not answer any further ond sign this verdict.	questions. Your foreperson
	Howev	ver, if your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer que	estion 7.
	7.	What amount of money should be awarded as punitive	e damages in this case?
		Answer:	\$
Your f	orepers	on should date and sign the verdict.	
Signed Presidi Dated:	ng Juro	or:	

IV.	FEDERAL CIVIL RIGHTS CLAIM (42 U.S.C. § 1983):
	CLAIM AGAINST JUSTIN VOSS (UNREASONABLE SEIZURE)

We an	swer th	ne questions submitted to us as follows:	
	1.	Did Justin Voss seize Carolyn Mitchell's without a warrant?	
	Yes _	No	
here, a	•	r answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answere no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this f	
	2.	Did Justin Voss seize Carolyn Mitchell's without a probable cause's	?
	Yes _	No	
here, a	•	r answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answere no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this f	
	3.	Was Justin Voss acting or purporting to act in the performance of h duties?	is official
	Yes _	No	
answei	•	r answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answere ther questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.	d no, stop here,
	4.	Was Justin Voss's seizure a substantial factor in causing harm to C Mitchell?	arolyn
	Yes _	No	
here, a	•	r answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answere no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this f	-
	5.	What are Carolyn Mitchell's damages?	
	a. b. c. d.	Past economic loss, including lost profits/medical expenses: Future economic loss, including lost profits/medical expenses: Past noneconomic loss, including physical pain/mental suffering: Future noneconomic loss, including physical pain/mental suffering:	\$ \$ \$ \$

\$____

TOTAL:

	After a	answering question 5, answer question 6.	
	6.	Should punitive damages be awarded in this case?	
	Yes _	No	
If your answer to question 6 is no, do not answer any further questions. Your foreper should date and sign this verdict. However, if your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7.			
		Answer:	\$
Signed			
	ing Juro		
Dated:			